Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/653,520	KIMMEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	
All Participants:		Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>Ngoclan T. Mai</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Luke Kilyk</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ North Street of the St	• •	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: 52-55, 126-135.			
Prior art documents discussed: Fife (US 6,416,703) in view of Kimmel et al. (2002/0114)	722)		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Attorney agrees to cancel claim 52 and its dependent claims 53-55 and 126-135 as they are unpatentable over the above patent in view of the publication. Claims 93-945 and 155-156 are cancelled for depending on cancelled claim.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirection. 	tion. The parate i	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	nnlicant	/Applicant's Penrocents	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Examineror E digitature) . (A)	ppiicaili	Applicant a Representa	uve dignature – ir appropriate)